

First 5 IMPACT Regional Coordination, and Training and Technical Assistance Hub Purpose and Funding

A. First 5 IMPACT Regional Coordination, and Training and Technical Assistance Hub (Hub) Purpose

Hubs will play two essential roles to support consortia and regions:

1. Basic coordination, project management, systems building activities (e.g., cross-region facilitation, needs assessment/asset mapping, coordination of *Talk. Read. Sing.*® including the Trusted Messengers campaign, where applicable)
2. Specialized, cross-region support on at least one element of the California-Quality Rating and Improvement System (CA-QRIS) or system function (Regional Anchor; Regional Trainer; coaches; regional strategies for family engagement and strengthening, and adult-child interactions)

This funding can help create a regional structure to:

- Increase regional efficiency by coordinating regional efforts
- Build on existing strengths
- Leverage local and state resources
- Build cross-consortia networks of support and learning communities for resource-sharing and problem solving
- Help consortia integrate county, state, and national research and promising practices

B. Hub Formula and Rationale

The Hub allocation of \$18 million is based on four factors representing the two major goals of Hubs:

Goal 1: Basic Coordination and Systems Building (\$9 million). This uses three different factors, each weighted equally:

1. **Counties in Hub Region** (\$3 million). The proportion of counties in the Hub region compared to statewide. Because Hub funds are designed to coordinate activities across consortia in the region, the relative expense of cross-consortia coordination likely will be greater with more counties in the Hub. This factor also takes into consideration travel in larger regions.
2. **Licensed Sites** (\$3 million). The proportion of licensed sites in the Hub region compared to statewide. Because Hub funds are designed to coordinate systems of care (Head Start, State Preschool, Private Centers, Family Child Care, etc.), the relative expense of cross-consortia coordination will likely be greater with more licensed sites in those systems of care.
3. **Children in Poverty** (\$3 million). The proportion of children in poverty in the Hub region compared to statewide. Because Hub funds are designed to coordinate systems that support all children, particularly those with the greatest need, the relative expense of cross consortia coordination will likely be greater with more children in poverty served by those systems.

Goal 2: Specialized Support (\$9 million). This factor uses one factor to allocate the remaining funds:

Participating IMPACT sites (\$9 million). The proportion of IMPACT sites in the Hub region compared to statewide. This funding is related directly to support for target IMPACT sites and to create regional efficiencies in assessor management; coaching; regional strategies for family engagement and strengthening. and adult-child interactions; and other QRIS-related activities. (Includes \$150,000 for region 6 augmentation to reach operational level.)

First 5 IMPACT Regional Coordination, and Training and Technical Assistance Hub Purpose and Funding

C. Factors Contributing to Hub Allocations

Hub Regions	Counties in the Region		Licensed Sites in the Region		Children in Poverty in the Region		First 5 IMPACT Target Sites in the Region		Total Funding through June 30, 2020	
	\$3 million		\$3 million		\$3 million		\$9 million		\$	% of Total
	# (%)	Factor Total	# (%)	Factor Total	# (%)	Factor Total	# (%)	Factor Total		
1 Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma	8 (14%)	\$ 413,793	2,097 (5%)	\$ 141,447	23,525 (3%)	\$99,633	369 (8%)	\$ 709,770	\$1,364,643	8%
2 Butte, Glenn, Lassen, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity	9 (16%)	\$ 465,517	748 (2%)	\$ 50,454	11,628 (2%)	\$ 49,247	259 (6%)	\$ 498,185	\$1,063,404	6%
3 Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, El Dorado, Nevada, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Sierra, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yolo, and Yuba	14 (24%)	\$ 724,138	5,234 (12%)	\$ 353,044	76,674 (11%)	\$ 324,730	811 (18%)	\$1,559,954	\$2,961,866	16%
4 Alameda, Contra Costa, Monterey, San Benito, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz	8 (14%)	\$ 413,793	9,274 (21%)	\$ 625,551	73,836 (10%)	\$ 312,710	913 (20%)	\$1,756,151	\$3,108,205	17%
5 Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, and Tulare	7 (12%)	\$ 362,069	3,705 (8%)	\$ 249,910	107,448 (15%)	\$ 455,064	498 (11%)	\$ 957,900	\$2,024,943	11%
6 Alpine, Inyo, Mono	3 (5%)	\$ 155,172	53 (<1%)	\$ 3,575	417 (<1%)	\$ 1,766	34 (1%)	\$ 65,399 + \$150,000	\$ 375,912	2%
7 San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Ventura	3 (5%)	\$ 155,172	2,175 (5%)	\$ 146,708	21,624 (3%)	\$ 91,582	261 (6%)	\$ 502,032	\$ 895,495	5%
8 Los Angeles	1 (2%)	\$ 51,724	9,354 (21%)	\$ 630,947	202,606 (29%)	\$ 858,077	468 (10%)	\$ 900,196	\$2,440,944	14%
9 Imperial, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino	4 (7%)	\$ 206,897	7,113 (16%)	\$ 479,787	145,624 (21%)	\$ 616,747	610 (13%)	\$1,173,332	\$2,476,762	14%
10 San Diego	1 (2%)	\$ 51,724	4,723 (11%)	\$ 318,576	44,967 (6%)	\$ 190,444	378 (8%)	\$ 727,081	\$ 1,287,826	7%
	58	\$3,000,000	44,476	\$3,000,000	708,349	\$3,000,000	4,601	\$ 9,000,000	\$18,000,000	

First 5 IMPACT Regional Coordination, and Training and Technical Assistance Hub Purpose and Funding

D. Hub Regions

